

THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL.

Writ Petition (M/S) No. 1536 of 2005

Deepak Rana S/O Shri P.S.Rana,
R/O Saraswati Vihar, Azabpur Khurd, Dehradun,
Present President for Uttaranchal Sumo Taxi Swami and Chalak
Kalyan Samiti Dehradun. ... **Petitioner.**

Versus

1. Additional District Judge,
II Fast Track Court, Dehradun,
 2. Lokesh Sharma S/O Shri O.P.Sharma
R/O Tunwala Dehradun, President of Uttaranchal
Sumo Taxi Kalyan Samiti, Dehradun,
 3. Rajendra Singh Rawat S/O late Shri Dhyan Singh Rawat,
R/O 3-V, Vikaspur Raipur Road, Dehradun, Secretary,
Uttaranchal Sumo Taxi Kalyan Samiti, Dehradun.
 4. Shri Balwant Singh S/O Shri Kundan Singh Rawat,
R/O Ganesh Vihar, Ajabpur Kalan, Dehradun.
 5. Shri Shashi Bhusan Jugran,
R/O 71-1, Block-D, Nehru Colony, Dehradun.
 6. Shri Sanjay Bisht S/O Shri Balwant Singh Bisht,
R/O Upper Rajiv Nagar, Dharampur Danda,
Post Nehru Gram Dehradun.
 7. Shri Rakesh Kumar S/O Shri Ramprasad,
R/O Shivlok Colony, Raipur Road, Dehradun.
 8. Shri Mastram Khanduri, S/O Shri Indra Dutt Khanduri,
R/O Paththar Plot Raipur Dehradun.
- ... **Respondents.**

Sri Arvind Kumar Sharma, learned counsel for the petitioner.
Sri Nanda Prasad, learned Standing Counsel for the respondent no.1.

Dated February 22, 2006.

Hon'ble B.S. Verma, J.

Heard Sri A.K.Sharma, learned counsel for the petitioner as well as Sri Nand Prasad, learned Standing Counsel for the State.

The petitioner has filed this writ petition challenging the order dated 23.9.2005 passed by the respondent no.1, whereby time bound interim order was passed against Balwant Singh and others including the petitioner in Misc. Civil Appeal No. 161 of 2005, Lokesh Sharma and another Vs. Balwant Singh and others.

The petitioner has further sought direction to the respondent no.1 to decide the said Appeal.

In the course of arguments, the learned counsel for the petitioner conceded that the ends of justice would be served in case a direction is issued to the respondent no.1 to decide the Misc. Civil Appeal No. 161 of 2005 Lokesh Sharma and another Vs. Balwant Singh and others, expeditiously. Main grievance of the petitioner is that the appeal was adjourned on a number of dates and has not yet been decided.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, I direct the respondent no.1 to decide the Misc. Civil Appeal No. 161 of 2005 expeditiously after hearing all the parties, preferably within a period of one month from the date of production of certified copy of this order.

With the above observation, the writ petition is disposed of finally.

(B.S.Verma, J.)

22.02.2006.
RCP